U.S. District Court Western District of Louisiana Robert H. Shemwell, Clerk RECEIVED

10-24-06 M. Cassanova

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

UNITED	${\tt STATES}$	OF	AMERICA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.	ORDER	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	JERMELRA FREEMAN Defendant	CASE NO	06-50108-02
	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a determinant pending trial in this case.	-	lude that the following facts require the detention
	rarti	- Findings of Fact	
[ ] (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving ris  [ ] a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3145(a)(4)  [ ] an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imp  [ ] an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment	se to federal jurisdiction had existed) 4).  orisonment or death.	that is
	[ ] a felony was committed after the defendant had been comor comparable state or local offenses.	nvicted of two or more prior federal of	fenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)(C)
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date in finding (1).		
[ ] (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the		,
	Altern	native Findings (A)	
[X] (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has o	committed an offense	
	[X] for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	years or more is prescribed in	The Controlled Substances Act
[ ] (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required and the safety of the control of the defendant as required as the safety of the control of the defendant as required as the safety of the control of the defendant as required as the safety of the control of the defendant as required as the safety of the control of the defendant as required as the safety of the defendant as required as the safety of the defendant as the safety of the		bination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	Altern	native Findings (B)	
[ ] (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
[ ] (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	ety of another person or the commun	nity.
	Part II - Written Sta	tement of Reasons for Detention	
I fir	nd that the credible testimony and information submitted at the h	nearing establishes by clear and conv	rincing evidence that
_	Defendant does not contest the government's n	notion for detention, reserving	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

his right to request a hearing on bond if his circumstances change

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

October 24, 2006

MARK L. HORNSBY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE